and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000–0065).

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Overtime. This OMB clearance currently expires on February 28, 1996.

DATES: Comment Due Date: December 19, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, or obtaining a copy of the justification, should be submitted to: General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (VRS), 18th & F Streets, NW., Room 4037, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0065, Overtime, in all correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jack O'Neill, Office of Federal Acquisition Policy, GSA (202) 501–3856.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Federal solicitations normally do not specify delivery schedules that will require overtime at the Government's expense. However, when overtime is required under a contract and it exceeds the dollar ceiling established during negotiations, the contractor must request approval from the contracting officer for overtime. With the request, the contractor must provide information regarding the need for overtime.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per completion, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 1,270; responses per respondent, 1; total annual responses, 1,270; preparation hours per response, .5; and total response burden hours, 635.

Dated: October 16, 1995.

Beverly Fayson,

FAR Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 95–26068 Filed 10–19–95; 8:45 am]

[OMB Control No. 9000-0108]

Request for Public Comments Regarding OMB Clearance Entitled Bankruptcy

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000–0108).

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Bankruptcy. This OMB clearance currently expires on February 28, 1996.

DATES: Comment Due Date: December 19, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, or obtaining a copy of the justification, should be submitted to: General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (VRS), 18th & F Streets, NW, Room 4037, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0108, Bankruptcy, in all correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Linda Klein, Office of Federal Acquisition Policy, GSA (202) 501–3775.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Under statute, contractors may enter into bankruptcy which may have a significant impact on the contractor's ability to perform its Government contract. The Government often does not receive adequate and timely notice of this event. The subject contract clause requires contractors to notify the contracting officer within five days after the contractor enters into bankruptcy.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to

average *1* hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 1,000; responses per respondent, 1; total annual responses, 1,000; preparation hours per response, 1; and total response burden hours, 1,000.

C. Annual Recordkeeping Burden

The annual recordkeeping burden is estimated as follows: Recordkeepers, 1,000; hours per recordkeeper, .25; and total recordkeeping burden hours, 250.

Dated: October 13, 1995.

Beverly Fayson,

FAR Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 95–26069 Filed 10–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820–EP–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Safe Interim Storage of Hanford Tank Wastes at the Hanford Site, Richland, WA

AGENCY: Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE), Richland Operations Office is giving notice of the availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Safe Interim Storage (SIS) of Hanford Tank Wastes (DOE/EIS-0212). The SIS EIS has been prepared jointly with the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). The Final EIS was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA); Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA, 40 CFR parts 1500-1508; DOE NEPA Implementing Procedures, 10 CFR 1021; and the Washington State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) (WAC 197-11 and RCW 43.21C). The Final EIS addresses interim management strategies for continued safe storage of tank wastes performed under the Tank Waste Remediation System Program. Final disposal actions for Hanford tank wastes are being considered in the Tank Waste Remediation System EIS, which is currently in the preliminary draft stage of preparation.

The Final EIS has been distributed to interested parties, Federal and State

agencies, and is available in DOE reading rooms and designated information locations which are identified in this notice. DOE plans to issue a Record of Decision on the Final EIS in November 1995.

ADDRESSES: Requests for copies of the Final EIS and for further information on the Final EIS should be directed to:

Ms. Carolyn C. Haass, TWRS NEPA
Document Manager, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations
Office, P.O. Box 550, MSIN S7–51,
Richland, WA 99352, (509) 372–2731

Mr. Geoff Tallent, Washington State
Department of Ecology, P.O. Box
47600, Olympia, WA 98504–7600,
(360) 407–7112

Information on the DOE NEPA process may be obtained from:
Ms. Carol Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance (EH–42), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–4600 or leave a message at 1–800–472–2756

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

DOE and Ecology issued a Draft EIS for public comment and published a Notice of Availability in the Federal Register July 20th, 1994 (59 FR 39329). During the 45 day comment period, DOE held five public hearings in order to obtain public comments on the Draft SIS EIS. In addition, public comments were received by mail, a toll-free telephone line, and facsimile. The comments are summarized and responded to in Volume 2 of the Final SIS EIS.

Public comments on the Draft SIS EIS were assessed and considered both individually and collectively by DOE and Ecology. Some comments resulted in modifications to the EIS. Other comments were responded to with an explanation of why a change was not warranted. The majority of the responses answered or further explained technical issues and comments, referred commentors to information in the SIS EIS, explained the relationship of this EIS to other related NEPA documents, communicated government policy, or indicated that the comment was beyond the scope of this EIS. In generating the Final SIS EIS, DOE and Ecology considered all comments received on the Draft.

During the preparation of the Final SIS EIS, progress was made in the resolution of unacceptable flammable gas generation and release in Tank 101–SY, the principal safety issue evaluated in the Draft EIS. A mixer pump was

introduced into Tank 101-SY to stir the contents and prevent the build-up and sudden release of flammable gases. Based on several months of operational data, the mixer pump has been determined to successfully mitigate the unacceptable generation of flammable gases. As a result, DOE and Ecology have selected as part of their preferred alternative in the Final SIS EIS, continued operation of the mixer pump to resolve the safety issues in Tank 101-SY. Mixer pump operation would continue until final waste disposal decisions are reached and implemented through the Tank Waste Remediation System EIS. The preferred alternative evaluated in the Draft SIS EIS, which included retrieval and dilution of Tank 101-SY into newly constructed double shell tanks, remains in the Final SIS EIS as a technical alternative to mixer pump operation, although the number of new tanks has been reduced from six to two based on further studies of required mitigation.

Based on public comments, the purpose and need statement of the Final SIS EIS includes waste management and operation activities required prior to the implementation of disposal decisions under the Tank Waste Remediation System EIS. The Final EIS recognizes four specific areas of waste management requiring interim actions.

- Removal of salt well liquids from old single shell tanks to reduce the likelihood of leaks.
- Establishment of a compliant crosssite waste transfer capability for interim storage of tank waste in double shell tanks.
- Maintenance of adequate tank storage capacity for future waste.
- Mitigate Hydrogen generation in Tank 101–SY.

These waste management and operation activities form the basis for the purpose and need statement of the Final SIS EIS.

Alternatives

The alternatives evaluated in the Final SIS EIS have been modified from those evaluated in the Draft SIS EIS to reflect the changes made to the purpose and need statement, and reflect the range of alternatives available to the agencies to safely manage high level tank wastes until final disposal decisions are made and implemented. The alternatives evaluated in the Final EIS include:

Preferred Alternative—The preferred alternative would continue retrieval of salt well liquids, and operation of the existing mixer pump in Tank 101–SY. It would construct and operate a new pipeline system, termed the

replacement cross-site transfer system, and a retrieval and transfer system in Tank 102–SY. The existing cross-site transfer system would continue to be used until the replacement cross-site transfer system becomes operational or the existing cross-site transfer system is no longer operational.

Truck Transfer Alternative—The truck transfer alternative would also continue to retrieve salt well liquids, but would transfer wastes utilizing truck tankers instead of pipelines. The alternative would also include constructing and operating a high level radioactive waste load and waste unload facilities. This alternative would use existing roadways utilizing either a modified tanker trailer truck or a French truck the LR-56(H). The alternative would not construct or operate a retrieval system in Tank 102–SY. The continued long-term operation of the existing mixer pump in Tank 101-SY would mitigate its flammable gas safety concerns. The existing cross-site transfer system would be utilized until the replacement system is operational.

Rail Transfer Alternative—The rail transfer alternative would be identical to the truck transfer alternative except wastes would be transported by rail instead of truck.

New Storage Alternative—The new storage alternative would continue salt well liquid retrievals and transfer wastes through the replacement crosssite transfer system like the preferred alternative, but would resolve the safety issue of Tank 101-SY by retrieval and dilution instead of continued operation of the mixer pump. The alternative would construct and operate two new double-shell tanks and their associated facilities to receive the diluted wastes from Tank 101-SY, and install retrieval systems in Tanks 102-SY and 101-SY. The existing cross-site transfer system would be utilized until the replacement system is operational.

No Action Alternative—The no action alternative would continued retrieval of salt well liquids and transfer wastes to the extent possible utilizing the existing cross-site transfer system. No new transfer capability would be constructed at this time. Operation of the existing mixer pump in Tank 101–SY would continue to mitigate its flammable gas safety concerns. This alternative would not provide a transfer capability that is compliant with current Federal and State regulations.

Availability of Copies of the Final EIS

Copies of the Final SIS EIS are being distributed to Federal, State, and local officials and agencies, organizations and individuals known to be interested in the EIS, and persons and agencies that commented on the Draft SIS EIS. Additional copies may be obtained by contacting Ms. Haass or Mr. Tallent at the above addresses. Copies of the Final SIS EIS, including appendices, reference material, comment letters, public hearing transcripts, and the DOE responses to comments, will be available for public review at the locations listed below.

The Final EIS is separately bound in two volumes. Volume 1 contains the Final EIS document and Volume 2 contains the Public Comment Response document.

DOE Public Reading Rooms

- (1) U.S. Department of Energy, Headquarters, Freedom of Information Reading Room, 1E–190 Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington DC 10585, (202) 586– 6020, Monday–Friday: 9 a.m. to 4 p.m.
- (2) U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Public Reading Room, Washington State University Tri-Cities, 100 Sprout Road, Room 130W, Richland, WA 99352, (509) 376–8583, Monday–Friday: 8 a.m. to 12 p.m. and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m.
- (3) Suzzallo Library, SM25, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98185, (206) 543–9158, Monday–Thursday: 7:30 a.m.to 12:00 p.m.; Friday: 7:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.; Saturday: 9:00 a.m. to 5 p.m.; Sunday: 12 p.m. to 12 midnight.
- (4) Foley Center, Gonzaga University, East 502 Boone Avenue, Spokane, WA 99258, (509) 328–4220, Extension 3125.
- (5) Portland State University, Branford Price Millar Library, SW., Harrison and Park, Portland, OR 97207, (503) 725–3690.

You may also receive a copy of the Final EIS by calling the Hanford Cleanup Hotline toll-free 1–800–321–2008. If you have special accommodation needs, contact Michele Davis at (206) 407–7126 or (206) 407–7155 Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD).

Signed in Richland, WA. this 10th day of October 1995, for the United States Department of Energy.

John D. Wagoner,

Manager, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office.

[FR Doc. 95-25948 Filed 10-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95-1857-000, et al.]

Green Mountain Power Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

October 13, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Green Mountain Power Corporation [Docket No. ER95–1857–000]

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, Green Mountain Power Corporation (GMP), tendered for filing a Transmission and Distribution Service Agreement between GMP and Vermont Electric Generation and Transmission Cooperative, Inc. (VEG&T), and a Notice of Cancellation of a Service Agreement for service to Vermont Electric Cooperative, Inc. (VEC) under GMP's FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1.

GMP states that these filings are being made to accommodate VEC's desire to discontinue purchasing its requirements for power and energy from GMP and to purchase such power and energy in the future from other suppliers. GMP has requested that the Notice of Cancellation of service to VEC under its FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1 be made effective as of February 1, 1995, and that the Transmission and Distribution Service Agreement with VEG&T be made effective on December 1, 1995.

Comment date: October 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Great Bay Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-1858-000]

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, Great Bay Power Corporation (Great Bay), tendered for filing a service agreement between CNG Power Services Corporation and Great Bay for service under Great Bay's Tariff for Short Term Sales. This Tariff was accepted for filing by the Commission on November 15, 1993, in Docket No. ER93–924–000. The service agreement is proposed to be effective October 1, 1995. Great Bay states that it plans to amend the Tariff shortly and agrees to make the service agreement subject to the outcome of the docket in which the Tariff is revised.

Comment date: October 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. New England Power Company [Docket No. ER95–1859–000]

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, New England Power Company

filed a Service Agreement and Certificates of Concurrence with Commonwealth Electric Company and Cambridge Electric Light Company under NEP's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 6.

Comment date: October 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E

at the end of this notice.

4. Southern Energy Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1860-000]

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, Southern Energy Marketing, Inc. (Southern Energy) submitted for filing an enabling agreement and service schedule executed September 26, 1995 between Southern Energy and the Eugene Water & Electric Board (EWEB).

The enabling agreement provides the general terms and conditions under which Southern Energy and EWEB propose to engage in power sales and purchase transactions. The service schedule provides for the sale from Southern Energy to EWEB of 25 MW of firm, off-peak energy at the rate specified therein. Service under the service schedule is scheduled to commence on October 1, 1995 and to terminate on March 31, 1996.

Southern Energy requests waiver of the 60-day prior notice requirement to permit service under the proposed agreement to commence on October 1, 1995. Southern Energy also requests certain other waivers and blanket approvals, including waiver of the Commission's filing requirements to the extent necessary.

Comment date: October 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Public Service Company of Colorado [Docket No. ER95–1861–000]

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, Public Service Company of Colorado (Public Service), tendered for filing a Service Agreement between Public Service Company of Colorado (Public Service) and Holy Cross Electric Association, Inc. (Holy Cross) under Public Service's Electric Coordination Service Tariff (Tariff). The Service Agreement will permit Holy Cross to arrange individual Coordination Power and Energy transactions in accordance with Service Schedule A of the Tariff. Public Service requests that its filing be made effective on October 1, 1995.

Comment date: October 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Public Service Company of Colorado [Docket No. ER95–1862–000]

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, Public Service Company of